



Plant Production Science

ISSN: 1343-943X (Print) 1349-1008 (Online) Journal homepage: https://www.tandfonline.com/loi/tpps20

Variations in structural, biochemical, and physiological traits of photosynthesis and resource use efficiency in *Amaranthus* species (NAD-ME-type C_4)

Nobuko Tsutsumi, Miyuki Tohya, Taiken Nakashima & Osamu Ueno

To cite this article: Nobuko Tsutsumi, Miyuki Tohya, Taiken Nakashima & Osamu Ueno (2017) Variations in structural, biochemical, and physiological traits of photosynthesis and resource use efficiency in *Amaranthus* species (NAD-ME-type C₄), Plant Production Science, 20:3, 300-312, DOI: <u>10.1080/1343943X.2017.1320948</u>

To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.1080/1343943X.2017.1320948

9	© 2017 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group	+	View supplementary material 🖸
	Published online: 08 May 2017.		Submit your article to this journal 🖸
111	Article views: 1726	Q	View related articles 🗗
ආ	Citing articles: 5 View citing articles 🖸		

REGULAR PAPER



OPEN ACCESS Check for updates

Variations in structural, biochemical, and physiological traits of photosynthesis and resource use efficiency in *Amaranthus* species (NAD-ME-type C₄)

Nobuko Tsutsumi^a, Miyuki Tohya^b, Taiken Nakashima^a and Osamu Ueno^{a,c}

^aGraduate School of Bioresource and Bioenvironmental Sciences, Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan; ^bSchool of Agriculture, Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan; ^cFaculty of Agriculture, Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan

ABSTRACT

C₄ plants show higher photosynthetic capacity and productivity than C₃ plants owing to a CO₂concentrating mechanism in leaves, which reduces photorespiration. However, which traits regulate the photosynthetic capacity of C_{A} plants remains unclear. We investigated structural, biochemical, and physiological traits associated with photosynthesis and resource use efficiency in 20 accessions of 12 species of Amaranthus, NAD-malic enzyme-type C_4 dicots. Net photosynthetic rate (P_N) ranged from 19.7 to 40.5 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹. P_N was positively correlated with stomatal conductance and nitrogen and chlorophyll contents of leaves and was weakly positively correlated with specific leaf weight. P_N was also positively correlated with the activity of the C₃ enzyme ribulose-1,5-bisphoshate carboxylase/oxygenase, but not with the activities of the C₄ enzymes phospho*enol*pyruvate carboxylase and NAD-malic enzyme. Structural traits of leaves (stomatal density, guard cell length, leaf thickness, interveinal distance, sizes of mesophyll and bundle sheath cells and the area ratio between these cells) were not significantly correlated with $P_{\rm N}$. These data suggest that some of the biochemical and physiological traits are involved in interspecific $P_{\rm N}$ variation, whereas structural traits are not directly involved. Photosynthetic nitrogen use efficiency ranged between 260 and 458 µmol mol⁻¹ N s⁻¹. Photosynthetic water use efficiency ranged between 5.6 and 10.4 mmol mol⁻¹. When these data were compared with previously published data of C_4 grasses, it is suggested that common mechanisms may determine the variations in resource use efficiency in grasses and this dicot group.

Introduction

Photosynthetic capacity is important for plant productivity and is a potential target to increase crop productivity (Evans, 2013; Zhu et al., 2010). In general, C_4 plants show higher photosynthetic capacity and productivity than C₃ plants (Brown, 1999) owing to a CO₂-concentrating mechanism, which provides a high-CO₂ environment around ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (Rubisco) and thereby suppresses photorespiration (Hatch, 1987; Osmond et al., 1982). Many studies have documented the genetic variation of photosynthetic rate and its regulatory factors (Flood et al., 2011). However, fewer studies have been performed on C_4 crops than on C_3 crops (e.g. Baer & Schrader, 1985; Peng et al., 1991). The range of genetic variation in photosynthetic rate in C_4 crops and the traits of photosynthesis that control this variation remain unclear. The regulation of C_4 photosynthesis is more intricate than that of C₃ photosynthesis (von Caemmerer & Furbank,

2016; Wang et al., 2014), because C_3 photosynthesis occurs in mesophyll cells, whereas C_4 photosynthesis is achieved through a collaboration of mesophyll and bundle sheath (BS) cells. First, atmospheric CO_2 is fixed by phospho*enol*pyruvate carboxylase (PEPC) of mesophyll cells and formed C_4 acids are transported to BS cells, where they are decarboxylated by C_4 acid decarboxylase; the released CO_2 is refixed by Rubisco in the BS cells and assimilated to carbohydrate in the C_3 cycle (Hatch, 1987). Some of these reactions are rate limiting in C_4 photosynthesis (Baer & Schrader, 1985; von Caemmerer et al., 1997; Usuda et al., 1984).

 CO_2 diffusion through stomata to the carboxylation site of photosynthetic cells also regulates photosynthetic rate. Many studies reported a positive relationship between photosynthetic rate and stomatal conductance (g_s) (Evans & Loreto, 2000; Flexas et al., 2012; Wong et al., 1979). Structural traits of leaves, such as size and density of

CONTACT Osamu Ueno 🖾 uenoos@agr.kyushu-u.ac.jp

Supplemental data for this article can be accessed at http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/1343943X.2017.1320948

© 2017 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 12 January 2017 Revised 13 March 2017 Accepted 12 April 2017

KEYWORDS

Amaranthus; C₄ photosynthesis; leaf anatomy; NAD-malic enzyme type; photosynthetic nitrogen use efficiency; photosynthetic rate; photosynthetic water use efficiency

CLASSIFICATION Crop Physiology stomata and photosynthetic cells, are also involved in CO₂ diffusion within leaves (Evans & Loreto, 2000; Giuliani et al., 2013). In C₄ plants, the quantitative balance of mesophyll and BS cells may be critical, because close coordination of the C₄ and C₃ cycles is required for efficient C₄ photosynthesis (Dengler et al., 1994; Lundgren et al., 2014; Ueno, 1996; Ueno et al., 2006).

In modern agriculture, efficient use of resources, such as nutrients and water, is of primary concern together with the increase in crop productivity (Ghannoum et al., 2011; Xu et al., 2012). Nitrogen (N) is the most important nutrient limiting plant productivity. C₄ plants use N more efficiently in photosynthesis and dry matter production than C₂ plants (Brown, 1977; Ghannoum et al., 2005, 2011; Taylor et al., 2010; Vogan & Sage, 2011). Photosynthetic N use efficiency (PNUE) is defined as net photosynthetic rate (P_{N}) per unit leaf N content. Water also limits plant growth and productivity, especially in rain-fed agriculture. C₄ plants also use water more efficiently in photosynthesis and dry matter production than C₃ plants (Ghannoum et al., 2011; Osmond et al., 1982; Taylor et al., 2010; Vogan & Sage, 2011). Photosynthetic water use efficiency (PWUE), which is defined as $P_{\rm N}$ per unit of transpiration rate $(T_{\rm r})$, represents instantaneous water use efficiency of leaves. Although a considerable number of studies on genetic variation in resource use efficiency are available for C₃ crops, data on C_4 crops are also limited to some major C_4 grass crops (Maranville & Madhavan, 2002; Uribelarrea et al., 2009).

 C_4 plants are divided into three biochemical subtypes depending on the difference in the mechanism of decarboxylation of C_4 acids in BS cells: NADP-malic enzyme (NADP-ME), NAD-malic enzyme (NAD-ME) and PEP carboxykinase (PCK) types (Hatch, 1987). Recent studies on C_4 grasses suggested differences in N use efficiency among the C_4 subtypes (Ghannoum et al., 2005; Togawa & Ueno, 2015), but we need more data to assess whether this conclusion can be extended to other C_4 groups.

Amaranthus is a genus in the Amaranthaceae family of dicots and includes many valuable grain and vegetable crops. Some *Amaranthus* species were widely consumed by prehistoric and modern Native Americans. The grains and leaves are rich in nutrients and minerals (Kachiguma et al., 2015). *Amaranthus* belongs to the NAD-ME type (El-Sharkawy, 2016; Ueno, 2001) and provides a unique opportunity to examine the genetic variation in photosynthetic traits and resource use efficiency in dicot crops of this type.

In this study, we investigated the structural, biochemical, and physiological traits of photosynthesis in 20 accessions of 12 species of *Amaranthus* to clarify the factors that affect genetic variation in P_{N} . In addition, we assessed the ranges of genetic variation of PNUE and PWUE in the *Amaranthus* species.

Materials and methods

Plant materials and growth

The accessions and species of Amaranthus examined in this study are listed in Table 1. Seeds were provided by the Plant Introduction Station, Agricultural Research Service, USDA, and by Dr M. Katsuta, National Institute of Crop Science, National Agriculture and Food Research Organization, Tsukuba, Japan. Seeds were germinated in perforated multiwell nursery boxes filled with loam soil granules and were grown for about 3 weeks in a greenhouse at the experimental field of Kyushu University in July, 2012. The seedlings were then transplanted to 5 L pots (one plant per pot) containing sandy loam soil containing nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium fertilizers (1.57 g each) in the form of ammonium nitrate, calcium superphosphate, and potassium chloride, respectively. The plants were grown outdoors for 4 weeks (August to September; mean air temperature, 26 °C; relative humidity, 65%). Plants were irrigated twice a day. Fully expanded mature upper leaves were used (three plants per accession, but two plants for Amaranthus tricolor PI 604669). In most strains, sampling and measurements for structural, biochemical and physiological traits of leaves were carried out at vegetative stage. In several strains, however, plants at flowering stage were used (Table 1).

Gas exchange and PWUE

An infrared CO₂/H₂O gas analyzer (Li-6262, Li-COR, Inc., Lincoln, NE, USA) installed in an open gas-exchange system was used as reported in Nakashima et al. (2011). The measurements were made under 1,500 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ of photosynthetic photon flux density, leaf temperature of 30 °C, relative humidity of 60%, and atmospheric CO₂ concentration. P_N , g_s , and T_r were calculated according to Long and Hallgren (1985). PWUE was calculated from P_N and T_r values.

N and chlorophyll contents, specific leaf weight, and PNUE

The same leaves were used to measure gas exchange and parameters described in this subsection. For plants with small leaves, lower leaves (the first and second lower leaves from the uppermost leaf) were added for measurement of N content (Table 1). It was considered that there is almost no positional effect on N content of leaves, because chlorophyll (Chl) and soluble protein contents did not largely differ between mature uppermost leaves and middle

Table 1. Amaranthus species examined in this study.

		Accession No./	
Species	Use	strain	Locality
A. australis J.D. Sauer		PI 553076	Florida, USA
		PI 553077	Florida, USA
A. cannabinus L.		PI 641042 ^{a,b}	New Jersey, USA
A. caudatus L.	G	Ames 5301	Peru
A. cruentus L.	G	Ames 2092	Nepal
		Ames 5276	Guatemala
		PI 628793	Zaire
A. dubius Mart. ex Thell.	V	PI 605352 ^{a,b}	Jamaica
A. hybridus L.	G	Ames 5361	Pennsylvania, USA
		Ames 5605	Greece
A. hyp × A. hyb		Plainsman ^a	USA
A. hypochondriacus L.	G	New Aztec	Japan
		Tsurushin	Japan
		Ames 2177	Nepal
A. palmeri S. Wats.		PI 633587ª	Senegal
A. quitensis Kunth.		Ames 15316 ^a	Argentina
A. tricolor L.	V	Komena	Japan
		PI 527321	China
		PI 604669	Taiwan
A. viridis L.	V	PI 536439 ^{a,b}	Maldives

Notes: Use: G, grain; V, vegetable.

^aPlants at flowering stage were examined.

^bLower leaves were added for measurements of N content and enzyme activities.

position-leaves (the seventh lower leaf) of Amaranthus plants (Nakashima et al., 2012). Samples were air dried at 80 °C for 1 to 2 days and milled to a fine powder. The N content of each leaf sample (0.3 g of powder) was determined using a micro-Kjeldahl procedure (Hashiba & Kanahama, 2002). The PNUE was calculated from P_N and leaf N contents. Five leaf disks (6 mm diameter each) per plant were immersed in 80% acetone for 2 to 3 days in the dark until the color was leached, and Chl content in the acetone solution was measured spectrophotometrically according to Arnon (1949). Another five leaf disks were air dried at 80 °C for 1 day and weighed; specific leaf weight (SLW) was calculated by dividing dry weight by leaf area values.

Enzyme assays

Leaf samples were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at about -80 °C. Leaves (0.25 g fresh weight) were ground on ice with a pestle in a mortar containing 0.5 g of sea sand, 25 mg of polyvinylpyrrolidone, 7 mg of bovine serum albumin, and 1 mL of grinding medium (50 mM HEPES-KOH (pH 7.5), 0.2 mM EDTA-2Na, 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 2.5 mM MnCl₂, 5 mM dithiothreitol, and 0.2% (v/v) Triton X-100). The homogenates were filtered through gauze, the filtrates were centrifuged at 10 000×g for 5 min at 4 °C, and the supernatants were used for the enzyme assays. An aliquot of the filtrate was taken for Chl content determination. Activities of PEPC, NAD-ME, and Rubisco were measured spectrophotometrically in 1 mL reaction mixtures (Ueno & Sentoku, 2006) at 30 °C (the same temperature as for gas exchange measurements). To measure total Rubisco activity, the supernatant was preincubated in the presence of 10 mM NaHCO₃ and 10 mM MgCl₂ at 25 °C for 10 min.

Quantification of structural traits in leaves

Cleared leaves were prepared as described in Ueno et al. (2006). Samples were obtained from the middle position of the leaves used for gas-exchange measurement. Leaf samples were fixed in a mixture of formaldehyde, acetic acid, and ethanol in water for 1 to 2 days; incubated in 70% ethanol at 80 °C for 36 h; and washed in distilled water several times. Then they were incubated in 80% lactic acid at 80 °C for 20 h and stored in chloral hydrate-saturated ethanol. Stomata on the adaxial and abaxial epidermis were observed under a light microscope (Biophot; Nikon, Tokyo, Japan). The guard cell length (GL) of five stomata selected randomly was measured at 300× magnification with an ocular micrometer with 4 replications for each surface of each leaf sample. The GL represented the mean of stomata on both leaf surfaces (40 stomata on adaxial and abaxial surfaces). Stomatal density (SD, sum of the number of stomata on both leaf surfaces per unit leaf area) was determined in a field of 0.385 mm² at 300× magnification with 4 replications for each surface of each leaf sample.

Samples taken from the middle position of the leaves used for gas-exchange measurement were fixed in 3% (v/v) glutaraldehyde in 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 6.8) at room temperature for 1.5 h. They were then washed with phosphate buffer and post-fixed in 2% OsO, in 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer for 2 h at room temperature. Samples were dehydrated through an acetone series, infiltrated with Quetol resin (Kushida & Kushida, 1982) for 1 day, embedded in fresh Quetol resin at 70 °C, and sectioned transversely at about 1 µm thickness with glass knives on an ultramicrotome (Porter-Blum MT-2B, Sorvall Inc., Nobwalk, Connecticut, USA). The sections were stained with 1% toluidine blue O. Structural traits were quantified in a representative leaf section from each leaf under the light microscope. Leaf thickness and chlorenchyma thickness (the thickest part of each vascular bundle sector) were measured at three points per section. Interveinal distance (IVD) was measured between the center of adjacent veins at 3 to 8 points per section. The length of the long axis (a parameter of the size of mesophyll cells) was measured for 10 palisade-like mesophyll cells (adaxial mesophyll cells) on vascular bundles per section. The diameter of BS cells (a parameter of the size of BS cells) was measured for 10 BS cells per section. The cross-sectional areas of mesophyll and BS cells surrounding vascular bundle (one vascular bundle per leaf section) were measured using the ImageJ software (Schneider et al., 2012), and the area (volume) ratio between mesophyll and BS cells (M/BS ratio) was



Figure 1. Variations in (A) net photosynthetic rate (P_N), (B) photosynthetic water use efficiency (PWUE), and (C) photosynthetic N use efficiency (PNUE) in *Amaranthus* species.

Notes: $P_{\rm N}$ is arranged from high to low mean values. Accessions of the same species are shown in the same tone. Mean \pm SD (n = 3, except n = 2 for A. tricolor PI 604669). Statistical evaluation of the species and strain differences in these parameters is shown in Suppl. data 1.

calculated. This parameter represents the relative proportion of mesophyll and BS cells.

Statistical analyses

The data are presented as means \pm SD (n = 3, except n = 2 for Amaranthus tricolor, PI 604669). They were statistically evaluated by analysis of variance (ANOVA). The species/strain differences in Pn, PNUE and PWUE were assessed with Tukey's test.

Results

Gas exchange and PWUE

A large variation in P_N was found among *Amaranthus* species (Figure 1(A)). P_N ranged from 19.7 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ in *A. tricolor* (Komena) to 40.5 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ in *A. cannabinus*; the latter was

2.1 times the former. The mean $P_{\rm N}$ was $30.2 \pm 6.1 \ \mu \text{mol m}^{-2} \ \text{s}^{-1}$ (Table 2). The intraspecific difference in $P_{\rm N}$ was small (Figure 1(A); Suppl. data 1). The value of $g_{\rm s}$ ranged from 165.7 to 245.6 mmol m⁻² $\ \text{s}^{-1}$; the latter was 1.5 times the former (Table 2). $T_{\rm r}$ ranged from 2.9 to 4.1 mmol m⁻² $\ \text{s}^{-1}$; the latter was 1.4 times the former (Table 2). PWUE varied from 5.6 mmol mol⁻¹ in *A. tricolor* (Komena) to 10.4 mmol mol⁻¹ in *A. hyp* × *hyb*, with the mean of $8.5 \pm 1.3 \ \text{mmol mol}^{-1}$ (Figure 1(B); Table 2; Suppl. data 1). $P_{\rm N}$ was positively correlated with $g_{\rm s}$ (Figure 2(A); Table 3) and $T_{\rm r}$ (Table 3). PWUE was significantly correlated with $P_{\rm N}$ and $g_{\rm s}$, but not with $T_{\rm r}$ (Table 3).

SLW, N, and Chl contents and PNUE

SLW varied considerably among *Amaranthus* species, ranging from 20.0 to 34.2 g m⁻² (Table 2). The N content of

Trait	$Mean \pm SD$	Minimum	Maximum	Max/min	F value
$P_{\rm N}$ (µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹)	30.2 ± 6.1	19.7	40.5	2.1	9.70***
g_{c} (mmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹)	208.7 ± 20.0	165.7	245.6	1.5	3.58***
T_{r}^{2} (mmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹)	3.5 ± 0.3	2.9	4.1	1.4	3.49***
PWUE (mmol mol ⁻¹)	8.5 ± 1.3	5.6	10.4	1.9	8.41***
SLW (g m ⁻²)	28.5 ± 4.1	20.0	34.2	1.7	12.77***
N content (mmol m ⁻²)	89.0 ± 15.9	53.2	114.1	2.1	11.63***
Chl content (g m ⁻²)	0.41 ± 0.1	0.28	0.51	1.8	6.89***
PNUE (µmol mol ⁻¹ N s ⁻¹)	344 ± 56	260	458	1.8	4.60***
PEPC activity (μ mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹)	80.1 ± 33.3	25.1	136.0	5.4	5.46***
NAD-ME activity (μ mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹)	38.4 ± 12.2	12.8	62.7	4.9	10.62***
Rubisco activity (μ mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹)	18.4 ± 4.7	9.3	27.5	3.0	5.19***
SD (No. mm ⁻²)	405 ± 105	204	607	3.0	8.08***
GL (μm)	25.7 ± 2.2	22.8	31.1	1.4	10.49***
$SD \times GL (mm mm^{-2})$	10.2 ± 2.2	6.4	14.2	2.2	9.25***
Leaf thickness (µm)	185 ± 17	159	222	1.4	5.70***
Chlorenchyma thickness (µm)	156 ± 16	130	190	1.5	5.30***
IVD (µm)	136 ± 15	113	156	1.4	1.49 ^{NS}
MC length (µm)	34.3 ± 5.5	28.2	49.9	1.8	6.68***
BSC diameter (µm)	33.1 ± 3.5	27.6	42.7	1.5	5.48***
M/BS area ratio	2.8 ± 0.3	2.3	3.2	1.4	3.10***

Table 2. Comparison of physiological, biochemical and structural traits of photosynthesis and resource use efficiency in Amaranthus species.

Notes: Mean \pm SD in 20 accessions of 12 species. Significance of *F* values at *P*: *** < 0.001; ^{NS} – not significant.



•: A. australis, \blacktriangle : A. cannabinus, \blacklozenge : A. caudatus, \bigcirc : A. cruentus, \square : A. dubius, \blacktriangle : A. hybridus, \diamondsuit : A. hyp \times A. hyb, \bigcirc : A. hypochondriacus, \square : A. palmeri, \blacktriangle : A. quitensis, \bigstar : A. tricolor, +: A. viridis

Figure 2. Relationships between P_N and (A) stomatal conductance (g_s) , (B) specific leaf weight (SLW), (C) leaf N content, and (D) chlorophyll (Chl) content in *Amaranthus* species.

Notes: Mean \pm SD (n = 3, except n = 2 for *A. tricolor* PI 604669). Significant at *P*: *<0.05; **<0.01; ***< 0.001.

leaves varied from 53.2 to 114.1 mmol m⁻², and Chl content varied from 0.28 to 0.51 g m⁻² (Table 2). The PNUE varied from 260 μ mol mol⁻¹ N s⁻¹ in *A. tricolor* (Komena) to 458 μ mol mol⁻¹ N s⁻¹ in *A. cannabinus*, with the mean

of $344 \pm 56 \ \mu\text{mol} \ \text{mol}^{-1} \ \text{N s}^{-1}$ (Figure 1(C); Table 2; Suppl. data 1). SLW was weakly correlated with P_{N} (Figure 2(B)), but strongly correlated with leaf N content (Table 3). P_{N} was positively correlated with N and Chl contents (Figure 2(C), (D)). PNUE was significantly correlated with P_{N} and g_{s} but not with leaf N content (Table 3). PWUE was significantly correlated with correlated with N and Chl contents (Figure 2(C), (D)). PNUE was significantly correlated with P_{N} and g_{s} but not with leaf N content (Table 3). PWUE was significantly correlated with N and Chl contents (Table 3).

Activities of photosynthetic enzymes

PEPC activity showed a large variation, ranging from 25.1 to 136.0 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ (Table 2). The lowest value was found in Ames 2177 and the highest in Tsurushin (both A. hypochondriacus), indicating that PEPC activity varies widely even within the same species. NAD-ME activity also showed a large variation, ranging from 12.8 to 62.7 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ (Table 2). The variation in Rubisco activity (9.3- 27.5 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹) was lower than the variations in PEPC and NAD-ME activities (Table 2). $P_{\rm N}$ was positively correlated with Rubisco activity but not with PEPC and NAD-ME activities (Figure 3). Rubisco activity was positively correlated with SLW, N, and Chl contents, and with PWUE and NAD-ME activities (Table 3). NAD-ME activity was positively correlated with SLW and N content; PEPC activity was positively correlated with Chl content (Table 3).

Structural traits of leaves

The mean SD was $405 \pm 105 \text{ mm}^{-2}$ (range: 204–607 mm⁻²; Table 2). The ratio of adaxial to abaxial SD was 0.9 ± 0.1 (data not shown). The mean GL was $25.7 \pm 2.2 \mu \text{m}$ (range: 22.8–31.1 μ m; Table 2). The ratio of adaxial to abaxial GL was 1.0 ± 0.1 (data not shown). The SD × GL, an index

	PN	gs	7 _r	PWUE	SLW	N content	Chl content	PNUE	PEPC activity	NAD-ME activity	Rubisco activity
ď	1.000										
9.	0.780***	1.000									
Ľ,	0.710***	0.954***	1.000								
PWUE	0.934***	0.532*	0.417 ^{NS}	1.000							
SLW	0.489*	0.400 ^{NS}	0.330 ^{NS}	0.487*	1.000						
N content	0.650**	0.499*	0.451*	0.626**	0.926***	1.000					
Chl content	0.614**	0.472*	0.470*	0.563**	0.395 ^{NS}	0.550*	1.000				
PNUE	0.535*	0.454*	0.418 ^{NS}	0.468*	-0.419 ^{NS}	-0.286 ^{NS}	0.148 ^{NS}	1.000			
PEPC activity	0.305 ^{NS}	0.097 ^{NS}	0.049 ^{NS}	0.349 ^{NS}	-0.089 ^{NS}	0.101 ^{NS}	0.484*	0.285 ^{NS}	1.000		
NAD-ME activity	0.240 ^{NS}	0.138 ^{NS}	0.240 ^{NS}	0.279 ^{NS}	0.577**	0.498*	0.143 ^{NS}	-0.249 ^{NS}	0.097 ^{NS}	1.000	
Rubisco activity	0.746***	0.414 ^{NS}	0.312 ^{NS}	0.816***	0.640**	0.731***	0.561**	0.124 ^{NS}	0.372 ^{NS}	0.531*	1.000



Figure 3. Relationships between P_N and (A) PEP carboxylase (PEPC) activity, (B) NAD-malic enzyme (NAD-ME) activity, and (C) Rubisco activity in leaves of *Amaranthus* species.

Notes: Mean \pm SD (n = 3, except n = 2 for A. tricolor PI 604669). Significant at P: *** < 0.001; ^{NS} not significant. Symbols for Amaranthus species are as in Figure 2. Broken lines (y = x) show enzyme activities that would be required for equal P_N .



Figure 4. Relationships between (A) stomatal density (SD) and guard cell length (GL), (B) GL × SD and $P_{N'}$ and (C) GL × SD and g_s in leaves of *Amaranthus* species.

Notes: Mean ± SD (n = 3, except n = 2 for A. tricolor PI 604669). Significant at P: ** < 0.01; ^{NS} not significant. Symbols for Amaranthus species are as in Figure 2.

indicating total stomatal pore length per unit leaf surface, ranged from 6.4 to 14.2 mm mm⁻² (Table 2). There was a negative correlation between SD and GL (Figure 4(A)). P_N (Figure 4(B)), g_s (Figure 4(C)) and T_r were not significantly correlated with SD, GL, or SD × GL (Suppl. data 2). SLW was positively correlated with SD and SD × GL (Suppl. data 2). PWUE and PNUE were not correlated with any stomatal parameters (Suppl. data 2).

All Amaranthus species examined showed typical Kranztype leaf anatomy (Figure 5). The BS cells contained many centripetally located chloroplasts. A layer of mesophyll cells surrounded the BS, and the mesophyll cells had a palisade-like structure at the adaxial side and a spongy one at the abaxial side, in agreement with our previous study (Ueno, 2001).

Leaf thickness ranged from 159 to 222 µm, whereas chlorenchyma thickness ranged from 130 to 190 µm (Table 2). The IVD also showed a large variation from 113 to 156 µm. The smallest and largest values of IVD were found in two accessions of *A. tricolor*. These structural parameters of leaves showed no significant correlation with the gas exchange parameters such as $P_{N'} g_{s}$ and T_r (Figure 6(A), (B); Suppl. data 2). Leaf and chlorenchyma thicknesses were positively correlated with GL (Table 4)

and IVD (Figure 6(C); Table 5). IVD was negatively correlated with SD (Table 4).

The length of mesophyll cells ranged from 28.2 to 49.9 μ m, and the diameter of BS cells ranged from 27.6 to 42.7 μ m (Table 2). The M/BS ratio was between 2.3 and 3.2 (Table 2). These structural parameters also showed no significant correlation with the physiological traits (Figure 6(D); Suppl. data 2). The diameter of BS cells and the length of mesophyll cells were correlated negatively with SD and positively with GL (Figure 6(E) and (F); Table 4), leaf and chlorenchyma thickness, and IVD (Table 5).

Discussion

Variations in physiological and biochemical traits of photosynthesis

To the best of our knowledge, our study is the first comprehensive report on the variation of P_N in the species of an NAD-ME-type C₄ dicot crop. The mean value of P_N was 30.2 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹, and the difference between the lowest and the highest values was 2.1 times. In our preliminary study performed in 2010 using 21 accessions of 11 species of *Amaranthus* (Tsutsumi et al., 2011), a similar mean



Figure 5. Transverse sections of leaves of representative species of *Amaranthus*. (A) *A. cannabinus* (PI 641042; $P_N = 41 \ \mu mol \ m^{-2} \ s^{-1}$), (B) *A. hyp* × *A. hyb* (Plainsman; 39 $\mu mol \ m^{-2} \ s^{-1}$), (C) *A. caudatus* (Ames 5301; 33 $\mu mol \ m^{-2} \ s^{-1}$), (D) *A. cruentus* (Ames 5276; 29 $\mu mol \ m^{-2} \ s^{-1}$), (E) *A. viridis* (PI 536439; 23 $\mu mol \ m^{-2} \ s^{-1}$), and (F) *A. tricolor* (Komena; 20 $\mu mol \ m^{-2} \ s^{-1}$). Notes: BSC, bundle sheath cell; MC, mesophyll cell; VB, vascular bundle. Bars = 50 μm .

value of $P_{\rm N}$ (29.7 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹) was found, with an interspecific difference of 2.5 times. *Amaranthus cannabinus*, which showed the highest $P_{\rm N}$ value, grew vigorously and occasionally reached 3 m in height. The grain species (*A. caudatus, A. cruentus, A. hybridus*, and *A. hypochondriacus*) had intermediate $P_{\rm N}$ values, whereas the vegetable species (*A. dubius, A. tricolor*, and *A. viridis*) had the lowest $P_{\rm N}$ values (Table 1; Figure 1(A)).

 $P_{\rm N}$ was positively correlated with $g_{\rm s}$ and $T_{\rm r}$ (Figure 2(A); Table 3), as expected from previous studies of C₃ and C₄ plants (Evans & Loreto, 2000; Wong et al., 1979); $P_{\rm N}$ was also positively correlated with Chl and N contents of leaves (Figure 2(C), (D)). This suggests that some photochemical and biochemical reactions of photosynthesis are closely involved in the variation in $P_{\rm N}$ of *Amaranthus* species. SLW was weakly positively correlated with $P_{\rm N}$ (Figure 2(B)). Positive correlation was also found between $P_{\rm N}$ and SLW (r = 0.726, p < 0.01) in our preliminary study (Tsutsumi et al., 2011). Positive correlation between $P_{\rm N}$ and SLW has been found in leaves of some C₃ species, but not in leaves of C₄ species (Ghannoum et al., 2011). Thus, *Amaranthus* seems to have an unusual relationship between P_N and SLW.

 C_{a} photosynthesis is achieved by cooperation of the C_{a} and C₃ cycles. Our data confirm that all Amaranthus species examined here are NAD-ME-type C₄ plants, because they have high NAD-ME activity. A positive correlation was found between $P_{\rm N}$ and Rubisco activity but not between $P_{\rm N}$ and PEPC or NAD-ME activity (Figure 3). It remains unknown the activities of which enzymes are rate limiting in NAD-ME-type C₄ photosynthesis (von Caemmerer & Furbank, 2016). In maize, an NADP-ME-type C₄ grass, Rubisco, and pyruvate, Pi dikinase, an enzyme responsible for the regeneration of PEP, are suggested to be the rate-limiting enzymes, because their activities were strongly correlated with P_{N} (Baer & Schrader, 1985; Usuda et al., 1984). Using antisense RNA, von Caemmerer et al. (1997) demonstrated that Rubisco is the rate-limiting enzyme for C₄ photosynthesis in Flaveria bidentis, a transformable NADP-MEtype C_{4} dicot. Our data also suggest that Rubisco is the rate-limiting enzyme of NAD-ME-type C₄ photosynthesis



Figure 6. Relationships between (A) leaf thickness (LT) and $P_{N'}$ (B) interveinal distance (IVD) and $P_{N'}$ (C) IVD and LT, (D) M/BS ratio and $P_{N'}$ (E) diameter of BS cells (BSC Φ) and SD, and (F) BSC Φ and GL in leaves of *Amaranthus* species. Notes: Mean \pm SD (n = 3, except n = 2 for *A. tricolor* PI 604669). Significant at *P*: ** < 0.1; *** < 0.01; ^{NS} not significant. Symbols for *Amaranthus* species are as in Figure 2.

Table 4. Correlation coefficients (*r*) and their statistical significance for the relationships between stomatal and structural traits in *Amaranthus* species.

Trait	Stomatal density (SD)	Guard cell length (GL)	SD × GL
Leaf thickness	-0.399 ^{NS}	0.665**	-0.241 ^{NS}
Chlorencyma thickness	-0.439 ^{NS}	0.688***	-0.294 ^{NS}
IVD	-0.659**	0.436 ^{NS}	-0.618**
MC length	-0.610**	0.773***	-0.507*
BSC diameter	-0.666**	0.794***	-0.530*
M/BS ratio	0.114 ^{NS}	-0.195 ^{NS}	0.046 ^{NS}

Notes: Values represent *r* from linear regression. Significant at *P*: * < 0.05; ** < 0.01; *** < 0.001; NS – not significant.

in Amaranthus species. In our study, Rubisco activity was somewhat lower than that required to equal P_N (Figure 3(C)). The reason for this is unknown. Usuda et al. (1984) reported no difference between the initial Rubisco activity (*in vivo*)

activation state) and total Rubisco activity (fully activated state) in C_4 grasses, although total activity was higher than initial activity in wheat (C_3). By contrast, Baer and Schrader (1985) found that total activity of Rubisco in maize cultivars is lower than the initial activity. In our study, Rubisco was preincubated with Mg²⁺ and CO₂ to measure total activity. Therefore, the behavior of Rubisco activity in *Amaranthus* may resemble that observed in maize, and the initial activity ity may be higher than the total activity. On the other hand, it could not be ruled out that some inactivation and degradation of Rubisco may occur during extraction procedure (Usuda & Shimogawara, 1994).

In Amarantus species, PEPC activity was higher than NAD-ME activity (Table 2). This is recognized in other study of Amaranthus as well (Bailey et al., 2000). In our study, there was no significant correlation between PEPC and NAD-ME activity and between PEPC and Rubisco activity

Table 5. Correlation coefficients (*r*) and their statistical significance for the relationships between structural parameters in *Amaranthus* species.

Trait	Leaf thickness	Chlorencyma thickness	IVD	MC length	BSC diameter	M/BS ratio
Leaf thickness	1.000					
Chlorenchyma thickness	0.977***	1.000				
IVD	0.630**	0.635**	1.000			
MC length	0.606**	0.661**	0.585**	1.000		
BSC diameter	0.831***	0.873***	0.680***	0.788***	1.000	
M/BS ratio	-0.278 ^{NS}	-0.250 ^{NS}	-0.014 ^{NS}	-0.051 ^{NS}	-0.377 ^{NS}	1.000

Notes: Values represent r from linear regression. Significant at P: ** < 0.01; *** < 0.001; NS not significant.

(Table 3). In NADP-ME-type C, grasses, a positive correlation is found between PEPC and NADP-ME activity and between PEPC and Rubisco activity (Usuda et al., 1984). In a mutant of Amaranthus edulis with reduced activity of either PEPC or NAD-ME, however, activities of other photosynthetic enzymes were not down-regulated (Bailey et al., 2000; Dever et al., 1998). Therefore, these data and our study suggest that the regulatory mechanism of C₄ photosynthesis in Amaranthus species may differ from that in NADP-ME-type C₄ grasses. In our study, PEPC and NAD-ME activities did not correlate with P_{N} (Figure 3(A), (B)). In the Amaranthus mutants, a 55% reduction of PEPC activity resulted in slight decrease (ca. 12%) in P_{N} (Bailey et al., 2000), whereas about 50% reduction of NAD-ME activity had no effect on $P_{\rm N}$ (Dever et al., 1998). These data suggest that these enzymes, especially NAD-ME, have little control over $P_{\rm N}$ in Amaranthus species.

Variations in structural traits of photosynthesis

Stomata are a critical structural trait in photosynthesis and transpiration, because atmospheric CO₂ enters and water leaves through stomata. Although we expected some significant relationships between stomatal and gas-exchange ($P_{N'}$, g_s , and T_r) parameters, we could not find them (Figure 4(B), (C); Suppl. data 2). In Arabidopsis, genetically increased SD resulted in enhanced P_{N} (Tanaka et al., 2013). In a grass (Leymus chinensis), SD was positively correlated with $P_{\rm N}$ and $g_{\rm s}$ (Xu & Zhou, 2008). In our study, $P_{\rm N}$ was positively correlated with $g_{\rm s}$ in the Amaranthus species. Therefore, it seems likely that the degree of stomatal opening, together with the GL and SD, is involved in a complex way in the variation in P_{N} , because these stomatal parameters are potentially involved in the maximum stomatal conductance (Lawson & Blatt, 2014). There was a negative correlation between GL and SD (Figure 4(A)); it appears that a decrease in GL is compensated by an increase in SD and vice versa, as in other species (Büssis et al., 2006; Franks et al., 2009). The interspecific difference in SD in Amaranthus was much greater than that of GL (Table 2). This indicates that there are physical and genetic limitations on the range of changes of GL, whereas SD has much greater flexibility. The physiological significance of the difference between variability of GL and SD remains an intriguing issue.

In general, if CO_2 diffusion within the leaf is not a limiting factor, P_N of thicker leaves would be higher than that of thinner leaves, because thicker leaves accumulate larger amounts of proteins involved in photosynthesis per unit leaf area. In some C_3 species, thicker leaves with higher SLW show higher P_N (Ghannoum et al., 2011). The thickness of C_4 leaves is restricted to a narrow range (Ghannoum et al., 2011), because under high light intensity C_3 leaves stack mesophyll cells, whereas C_4 leaves need to maintain quantitative balance between the two cell types. In *Amaranthus* species, SLW (Figure 2(B)) but not leaf or chlorenchyma thickness (Figure 6(A); Suppl. data 2) was positively correlated with P_N . These data show that increased leaf and chlorenchyma thicknesses do not result in higher SLW in *Amaranthus* (Suppl. data 2).

The M/BS ratio is a structural parameter associated with quantitative balance of the metabolic functions of C_{4} and C_{3} cycles (Dengler et al., 1994; Lundgren et al., 2014; Ueno, 1996). The IVD is a structural parameter involved in photosynthate transport and water flow within leaves and in exchange of metabolites between mesophyll and BS cells in C₄ leaves (Dengler et al., 1994; Lundgren et al., 2014; Ueno et al., 2006). In Amaranthus, these two parameters were not significantly correlated with $P_{\rm N}$ (Suppl. data 2). This was also the case for the size of mesophyll and BS cells (Figure 6(B), (D); Suppl. data 2). In general, small mesophyll cells would result in a large mesophyll surface area exposed to intercellular spaces per unit leaf area and thereby high CO₂ fluxes into mesophyll cells. However, Baer and Schrader (1985) reported that in maize cultivars, higher $P_{\rm N}$ is associated with large leaf cell size, which was estimated from DNA content. This appears to be in conflict with the general relationship between cell size and $P_{\rm N}$ in leaves. Our data in Amaranthus species indicate that structural traits of leaves, such as the M/BS ratio, IVD, and cell size, do not account for the variation of $P_{\rm N}$.

Leaf and chlorenchyma thicknesses were positively correlated with IVD (Figure 6(C); Table 5). Positive relationships were also found between leaf and chlorenchyma thicknesses and the sizes of mesophyll and BS cells (Table 5). The sizes of mesophyll and BS cells were correlated negatively with SD but positively with GL (Figure 6(E) and (F); Table 4). These data suggest that the sizes of leaf cells change in concert with each other, which might permit smooth functioning of C_4 photosynthesis in *Amaranthus* species.

In this study, we failed to find structural factors primarily associated with the variation in $P_{\rm N}$. The CO₂ leakiness from BS cells influences photosynthetic efficiency of C₄ plants (Kromdijk et al., 2014; von Caemmerer & Furbank, 2016). Structural factors associated with mesophyll conductance might also be related to the variation in $P_{\rm N}$ (Evans & Loreto, 2000; Flexas et al., 2012). Detailed analysis of leaf structural traits, such as the surface areas of photosynthetic cells exposed to intercellular spaces and their cell wall thickness, is required for understanding the genetic variation in $P_{\rm N}$.

Variations in resource use efficiency

In previous studies, mean values of PNUE in C₃ and C₄ species ranged from 170 to 260 and 300 to 580 μ mol mol⁻¹ N

s⁻¹, respectively (Ghannoum et al., 2005, 2011; Togawa & Ueno, 2015; Vogan & Sage, 2011). As expected, PNUE values of Amaranthus species were higher than those of C₂ species. Although PNUE of C₄ grasses has been investigated (Brown, 1977; Ghannoum et al., 2005; Taylor et al., 2010; Togawa & Ueno, 2015), our study revealed a large genetic variation in PNUE among closely related C₄ dicot species (Figure 1(C)). The PNUE is determined by leaf N content and the traits involved in P_{N} ; the variation in PNUE was affected more by P_N than by leaf N content (Table 3). Leaf structural traits were not correlated with the variation in PNUE. In leaves, N is allocated to cell walls and storage pools, as well as photosynthetic proteins (Poorter & Evans, 1998). Therefore, we could not rule out that the species difference in N allocation distorts the genetic variation in PNUE of Amaranthus. There was no clear difference in PNUE between grain and vegetable species of Amaranthus (Figure 1(C)).

Ghannoum et al. (2005) performed 3 separate experiments on PNUE of C_4 grasses and found that mean values of PNUE range from 390 to 525 µmol mol⁻¹ N s⁻¹ in NADP-ME type and from 300 to 351 µmol mol⁻¹ N s⁻¹ in NAD-ME type. We found that PNUE of *Amaranthus* was close to those of the grasses of the NAD-ME type, which suggests that a common mechanism may determine PNUE of C_4 subtypes of monocot and dicot plants. Greater turnover rate of Rubisco in NADP-ME than in NAD-ME-type C_4 grasses was suggested as one of the main causes of the differences in PNUE (Ghannoum et al., 2005). The catalytic properties of Rubisco in *Amaranthus* species remain unknown.

In previous studies, mean values of PWUE in C₃ and C₄ species ranged from 2.2 to 5.2 and 6.6 to 12.0 mmol mol⁻¹, respectively (Osmond et al., 1982; Togawa & Ueno, 2015). The PWUE of Amaranthus species was higher than those of C₂ species. It is thought that higher PWUE in C₄ species is due to their higher $P_{\rm N}$, which is achieved by a CO₂concentrating mechanism (Ghannoum et al., 2011). The $P_{\rm N}/g_{\rm s}$ ratio (often called $A/g_{\rm s}$) is often used as another index of water use efficiency in leaves; its mean value (0.145 µmol mmol⁻¹) was close to those reported in C₄ grasses (Ghannoum et al., 2001; Taylor et al., 2010). We found a large genetic difference in PWUE among Amaranthus species (Figure 1(B)). PWUE is determined by the traits involved in P_N and T_r ; the effect of P_N appeared to be stronger than that of T_r (Table 2). Vegetable species tended to have lower PWUE than grain species (Figure 1(B)), as found for $P_{\rm N}$. In contrast to PNUE, no significant differences have been found in PWUE and P_N/g_s among C_A subtypes of grasses (Ghannoum et al., 2001, 2011; Taylor et al., 2010; Togawa & Ueno, 2015). Our data on PWUE and PNUE of Amaranthus species suggest that common mechanisms may determine the variations in resource use efficiency in grasses and this dicot group.

Acknowledgments

We thank the Plant Introduction Station, ARS, USDA, and Dr M. Katsuta (National Institute of Crop Science, National Agriculture and Food Research Organization, Tsukuba, Japan) for their kind gifts of *Amaranthus* seeds, and we thank T. Yabiku from our laboratory for his kind aid in preparation of the figures.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

Funding

This work was supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science KAKENHI [grant numbers JP 16H04868 and 24380010] to O.U.

References

- Arnon, D. I. (1949). Copper enzymes in isolated chloroplasts. Polyphenoloxidase in *Beta vulgaris*. *Plant Physiology*, 24, 1– 15. doi:10.1104/pp.24.1.1
- Baer, G. R., & Schrader, L. E. (1985). Relationships between CO₂ exchange rates and activities of pyruvate, Pi dikinase and ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase, chlorophyll concentration, and cell volume in maize leaves. *Plant Physiology*, 77, 612–616. doi:10.1104/pp.77.3.612
- Bailey, K. J., Battistelli, A., Dever, L. V., Lea, P. J., & Leegood, R. C. (2000). Control of C₄ photosynthesis: Effects of reduced activities of phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase on CO₂ assimilation in *Amaranthus edulis* L. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, *51*, 339–346. doi:10.1093/jexbot/51.suppl_1.339
- Brown, R. H. (1977). A difference in N use efficiency in C₃ and C₄ plants and its implications in adaptation and evolution. *Crop Science*, *18*, 93–98. doi:10.2135/ cropsci1978.0011183X001800010025x
- Brown, R.H. (1999). Agronomic implications of C₄ photosynthesis. In R. F. Sage & R. K. Monson (Eds.), C₄ plant biology (pp. 473– 507). San Diego, CA: Academic Press.
- Büssis, D., von Groll, U., Fisahn, J., & Altmann, T. (2006). Stomatal aperture can compensate altered stomatal density in *Arabidopsis thaliana* at growth light conditions. *Functional Plant Biology*, 33, 1037–1043. doi:10.1071/FP06078
- Dengler, N. G., Dengler, R. E., Donnelly, P. M., & Hattersley, P. W. (1994). Quantitative leaf anatomy of C₃ and C₄ grasses (Poaceae): Bundle sheath and mesophyll surface area relationships. *Annals of Botany*, *73*, 241–255. doi:10.1006/anbo.1994.1029
- Dever, L. V., Pearson, M., Ireland, R. J., Leegood, R. C., & Lea, P. J. (1998). The isolation and characterization of a mutant of the C₄ plant Amaranthus edulis deficient in NAD-malic enzyme activity. Planta, 206, 649–656. doi:10.1007/s004250050443
- El-Sharkawy, M. A. (2016). Prospects of photosynthetic research for increasing agricultural productivity, with emphasis on the tropical C_4 Amaranthus and the cassava C_3-C_4 crops. *Photosynthetica*, 54, 161–184. doi:10.1007/s11099-016-0204-z
- Evans, J. R. (2013). Improving photosynthesis. *Plant Physiology, 162*, 1780–1793. doi:10.1104/pp.113.219006
- Evans, J. R., & Loreto, F. (2000). Acquisition and diffusion of CO₂ in higher plant leaves. In R. C. Leegood, T. D. Sharkey,

& S. von Caemmerer (Eds.), *Photosynthesis: Physiology and metabolism* (pp. 321–351). Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic.

- Flexas, J., Barbour, M. M., Brendel, O., Cabrera, H. M., Carriqui, M., Diaz-Espejo, A., ... Warren, C. R. (2012). Mesophyll diffusion conductance to CO₂: An unappreciated central player in photosynthesis. *Plant Science*, *193–194*, 70–84. doi:10.1016/j. plantsci.2012.05.009
- Flood, P. J., Harbinson, J., & Aarts, M. G. M. (2011). Natural genetic variation in plant photosynthesis. *Trends in Plant Science*, 16, 327–335. doi:10.1016/j.tplants.2011.02.005
- Franks, P. J., Drake, P. L., & Beerling, D. J. (2009). Plasticity in maximum stomatal conductance constrained by negative correlation between stomatal size and density: An analysis using *Eucalyptus globulus*. *Plant, Cell and Environment, 32*, 1737–1748. doi:10.1111/j.1365-3040.2009.002031.x
- Ghannoum, O., Evans, J. R., Chow, W. S., Andrews, T. J., Conroy, J. P., & von Caemmerer, S. (2005). Faster Rubisco is the key to superior nitrogen-use efficiency in NADP-malic enzyme relative to NAD-malic enzyme C4 grasses. *Plant Physiology*, *137*, 638–650. doi:10.1104/pp.104.054759
- Ghannoum, O., Evans, J. R., & von Caemmerer, S. (2011). Nitrogen and water use efficiency of C₄ plants. In A. S. Raghavendra & R.
 F. Sage (Eds.), C₄ photosynthesis and related CO₂ concentrating mechanisms (pp. 129–146). Dordrecht: Springer.
- Ghannoum, O., von Caemmerer, S., & Conroy, J. P. (2001). Carbon and water economy of Australian NAD-ME and NADP-ME C₄ grasses. *Australian Journal of Plant Physiology, 28*, 213–223. doi:10.1071/PP00078
- Giuliani, R., Koteyeva, N., Voznesenskaya, E., Evans, M. A., Cousins, A. B., & Edwards, G. E. (2013). Coordination of leaf photosynthesis, transpiration, and structural traits in rice and wild relatives (Genus *Oryza*). *Plant Physiology*, *162*, 1632– 1651. doi:10.1104/pp.113.217497
- Hashiba, T., & Kanahama, K. (2002). A manual of experiments for agriculture (3rd ed.). Tokyo: Soft Science.
- Hatch, M. D. (1987). C₄ photosynthesis: A unique blend of modified biochemistry, anatomy and ultrastructure. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) – Reviews on Bioenergetics*, 895, 81–106. doi:10.1016/S0304-4173(87)80009-5
- Kachiguma, N. A., Mwase, W., Maliro, M., & Damaliphetsa, A. (2015). Chemical and mineral composition of amaranth (*Amaranthus* L.) species collected from central Malawi. *Journal of Food Research*, *4*, 92. doi:10.5539/jfr.v4n4p92
- Kromdijk, J., Ubierna, N., Cousins, A. B., & Griffiths, H. (2014). Bundle-sheath leakiness in C₄ photosynthesis: A careful balancing act between CO₂ concentration and assimilation. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 65, 3443–3457. doi:10.1093/ jxb/eru157
- Kushida, H., & Kushida, T. (1982). An improved method for both light and electron microscopy of identical sites in semithin tissue sections embedded in epoxy resin "Quetol 651". *Journal of Electron Microscopy*, 31, 206–209.
- Lawson, T., & Blatt, M. R. (2014). Stomatal size, speed, and responsiveness impact on photosynthesis and water use efficiency. *Plant Physiology*, 164, 1556–1570. doi:10.1104/ pp.114.237107
- Long, S. P., & Hallgren, J. E. (1985). Measurements of CO₂ assimilation by plants in the field and the laboratory. In J. Coombs, D. O. Hall, S. P. Long, & J. M. O. Scurlock (Eds.), *Techniques in bioproductivity and photosynthesis* (pp. 62–94). Oxford: Pergamon Press.

- Lundgren, M. R., Osborne, C. P., & Christin, P. A. (2014). Deconstructing Kranz anatomy to understand C₄ evolution. *Journal of Experimental Botany, 65*, 3357–3369. doi:10.1093/ jxb/eru186
- Maranville, J. W., & Madhavan, S. (2002). Physiological adaptations for nitrogen use efficiency in sorghum. *Plant and Soil, 245*, 25–34. doi:10.1023/A:1020660504596
- Nakashima, T., Araki, T., & Ueno, O. (2011). Photoprotective function of betacyanin in leaves of *Amaranthus cruentus* L. under water stress. *Photosynthetica*, 49, 497–506. doi:10.1007/s11099-011-0062-7
- Nakashima, T., Araki, T., & Ueno, O. (2012). Effects of foliar betacyanin on photosynthetic characteristics in senescing leaves of Amaranthus cruentus L. Japanese Journal of Crop Science, 81 (Extra Issue 1), 304–305.
- Osmond, C. B., Winter, K., & Ziegler, H. (1982). Functional significance of different pathways of CO₂ fixation in photosynthesis. In O. L. Lange, P. S. Nobel, & C. B. Osmond (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of plant physiology*, New Series (Vol. 12B, pp. 479–547). Berlin: Springer Verlag.
- Peng, S., Krieg, D. R., & Girma, F. S. (1991). Leaf photosynthetic rate is correlated with biomass and grain production in grain sorghum lines. *Photosynthesis Research*, 28, 1–7. doi:10.1007/ BF00027171
- Poorter, H., & Evans, J. R. (1998). Photosynthetic nitrogen-use efficiency of species that differ inherently in specific leaf area. *Oecologia*, *116*, 26–37. doi:10.1007/s004420050560
- Schneider, C. A., Rasband, W. S., & Eliceiri, K. (2012). NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. *Nature Methods*, 9, 671– 675. doi:10.1038/nmeth.2089
- Tanaka, Y., Sugano, S. S., Shimada, T., & Hara-Nishimura, I. (2013). Enhancement of leaf photosynthetic capacity through increased stomatal density in Arabidopsis. *New Phytologist*, 198, 757–764. doi:10.111/nph.12186
- Taylor, S. H., Hulme, S. P., Rees, M., Ripley, B. S., Woodward, F. I., & Osborne, C. P. (2010). Ecophysiological traits in C₃ and C₄ grasses:
 A phylogenetically controlled screening experiment. *New Phytologist*, *185*, 780–791. doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2009.03102.x
- Togawa, Y., & Ueno, O. (2015). Comparison of resource use efficiencies involved in photosynthesis among biochemical subtypes of C₄ grasses. *Abstracts of the 239th Meeting of the Crop Science Society of Japan* (p. 209). Tokyo.
- Tsutsumi, N., Nakashima, T., & Ueno, O. (2011). Variation in photosynthetic capacity and its regulating factors in *Amaranthus* C₄ species. *Japanese Journal of Crop Science, 80* (Extra Issue 2), 240–241.
- Ueno, O. (1996). Structural characterization of photosynthetic cells in an amphibious sedge, *Eleocharis vivipara*, in relation to C_3 and C_4 metabolism. *Planta*, 199, 382–393. doi:10.1007/BF00195730
- Ueno, O. (2001). Ultrastructural localization of photosynthetic and photorespiratory enzymes in epidermal, mesophyll, bundle sheath and vascular bundle cells of the C₄ dicot *Amaranthus viridis. Journal of Experimental Botany, 52*, 1003– 1013. doi:10.1093/jexbot/52.358.1003
- Ueno, O., Kawano, Y., Wakayama, M., & Takeda, T. (2006). Leaf vascular systems in C₃ and C₄ grasses: A two-dimensional analysis. *Annals of Botany, 97*, 611–621. doi:10.1093/aob/mcl010
- Ueno, O., & Sentoku, N. (2006). Comparison of leaf structure and photosynthetic characteristics of C₃ and C₄ Alloteropsis

semialata subspecies. *Plant, Cell and Environment, 29*, 257–268. doi:10.1111/j.1365-3040.2005.01418.x

- Uribelarrea, M., Crafts-Brandner, S. J., & Below, F. E. (2009). Physiological N response of field-grown maize hybrids (*Zea mays* L.) with divergent yield potential and grain protein concentration. *Plant and Soil, 316*, 151–160. doi:10.1007/ s11104-008-9767-1
- Usuda, H., Ku, M. S. B., & Edwards, G. E. (1984). Rates of photosynthesis relative to activity of photosynthetic enzymes, chlorophyll and soluble protein content among ten C₄ species. *Australian Journal of Plant Physiology*, *11*, 509–517. doi:10.1071/PP9840509
- Usuda, H., & Shimogawara, K. (1994). Induction of the inactivation and degradation of phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase and ribulose 1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase in maize leaves by freezing and thawing. *Plant and Cell Physiology, 35*, 363–370. doi:10.1093/oxfordjournals.pcp.a078604
- Vogan, P. J., & Sage, R. F. (2011). Water-use efficiency and nitrogen-use efficiency of C₃-C₄ intermediate species of *Flaveria* Juss. (Asteraceae). *Plant, Cell and Environment, 34*, 1415–1430. doi:10.1111/j.1365-3040.2011.02340.x
- von Caemmerer, S., & Furbank, R. T. (2016). Strategies for improving C₄ photosynthesis. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*, *31*, 125–134. doi:10.1016/j-pbi.2016.04.003

- von Caemmerer, S., Millgate, A., Farquhar, G. D., & Furbank, R. T. (1997). Reduction of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/ oxygenase by antisense RNA in the C₄ plant *Flaveria bidentis* leads to reduced assimilation rates and increased carbon isotope discrimination. *Plant Physiology*, *113*, 469–477. doi:10.1104/pp.113.2.469
- Wang, Y., Long, S. P., & Zhu, X. G. (2014). Elements required for an efficient NADP-malic enzyme type C₄ photosynthesis. *Plant Physiology*, 164, 2231–2246. doi:10.1104/pp.113. 230284
- Wong, S. C., Cowan, I. R., & Farquhar, G. D. (1979). Stomatal conductance correlates with photosynthetic capacity. *Nature*, 282, 424–426. doi:10.1038/282424a0
- Xu, G., Fan, X., & Miller, A. J. (2012). Plant nitrogen assimilation and use efficiency. *Annual Review of Plant Biology*, 63, 153– 182. doi:10.1146/annurev-arplant-042811-105532
- Xu, Z., & Zhou, G. (2008). Responses of leaf stomatal density to water status and its relationship with photosynthesis in a grass. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 59, 3317–3325. doi:10.1093/jxb/ern185
- Zhu, X. G., Long, S. P., & Ort, D. R. (2010). Improving photosynthetic efficiency for greater yield. *Annual Review of Plant Biology*, *61*, 235–261. doi:10.1146/annurevarplant-042809-112206